NENA Hearing Standards for Public Safety Telecommunicators

Abstract: This document is provided to assist public safety answering points (PSAPs) in establishing Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)-compliant hearing standards for public safety telecommunicators.



NENA Hearing Standards for Public Safety Telecommunicators

NENA-STA-007.3-2020 DSC Approval: 11/5/2019 PRC Approval: 12/31/2019

NENA Board of Directors Approval: 01/19/2020 Next Scheduled Review Date: 07/19/2024

Prepared by:

National Emergency Number Association (NENA) PSAP Operations Committee, Document Review Working Group

Published by NENA Printed in USA



1 Executive Overview

This document is provided to assist public safety answering points (PSAPs) in establishing Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)-compliant hearing standards for public safety telecommunicators. It is a function of the public safety telecommunicator to receive and process audible information from various telecommunications devices as well as differentiate and process numerous non-verbal audible alarms and indicators. Therefore, the following standard has been created to assist managers in developing minimum hearing standards required to perform this basic and essential function of the public safety telecommunicator role.

This sta	andard includes information including:
	Telecommunicator role requirements
	Audiometric testing of candidates and employees
	Audiology
	Americans with Disabilities Act as it relates to employment
Purpos	se and Scope
for PSA safety t	earing Standards for Public Safety Telecommunicators standards document is a tool P managers to use in the development of minimum hearing standards for public selecommunicators. It defines standard hearing requirements and audiology ary to perform the basic functions of the telecommunicator position.
Reaso	n to Implement
	andard will be helpful to PSAP managers by providing reasonable and defensible y accepted minimum hearing standards for public safety telecommunicators.
Benefi	ts
	this "Hearing Standards for Public Safety Telecommunicators" standards document s PSAP centers with:
	A nationally accepted minimum hearing standard for the public safety telecommunicator role;
	Means by which to assess the hearing of potential candidates or current employees in the public safety telecommunicator role;
	Recommended audiometric testing policies.

Table of Contents

1 EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW	
DOCUMENT TERMINOLOGY	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS (IPR) POLICY	
REASON FOR ISSUE/REISSUE	
2 HEARING STANDARDS FOR PUBLIC SAFETY TELECOMMUNICATORS	
2.1 POSITION REQUIREMENTS 2.2 MEDICAL EVALUATION 2.2.1 Evaluator Credentials 2.2.2 Candidates for Employment 2.2.3 Current Employees 2.2.4 Medical Records 2.3 AUDIOLOGY 2.3.1 Sound 2.3.2 Hearing Thresholds 2.3.3 Audiometric Speech Discrimination 2.3.4 Hearing Conservation 2.4 AMERICANS WITH DISABILITY ACT (ADA): EMPLOYMENT 2.4.1 Reasonable Accommodations	
2.4.2 Job Task Necessity 3 NENA REGISTRY SYSTEM (NRS) CONSIDERATIONS	
4 DOCUMENTATION REQUIRED FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF A NENA XML SCHEMA	
5 IMPACTS, CONSIDERATIONS, ABBREVIATIONS, TERMS, AND DEFINITIONS	
5.1 OPERATIONS IMPACTS SUMMARY 5.2 TECHNICAL IMPACTS SUMMARY 5.3 SECURITY IMPACTS SUMMARY 5.4 RECOMMENDATION FOR ADDITIONAL DEVELOPMENT WORK 5.5 ANTICIPATED TIMELINE 5.6 COST FACTORS. 5.7 COST RECOVERY CONSIDERATIONS. 5.8 ADDITIONAL IMPACTS (NON-COST RELATED) 5.9 ABBREVIATIONS, TERMS, AND DEFINITIONS.	
6 RECOMMENDED READING AND REFERENCES	13
7 EXHIBITS	13
8 APPENDIX	13
ACKNOWI FDGFMFNTS	14

01/19/2020

NENA STANDARD DOCUMENT NOTICE

This Standard Document (STA) is published by the National Emergency Number Association (NENA) as an information source for 9-1-1 System Service Providers, network interface vendors, system vendors, telecommunication service providers, and 9-1-1 Authorities. It is not intended to provide complete design or operation specifications or parameters or to assure the quality of performance for systems that process such equipment or services.

NENA reserves the right to revise this Standard Document for any reason including, but not limited to:

- Conformity with criteria or standards promulgated by various agencies,
- Utilization of advances in the state of the technical arts,
- Reflecting changes in the design of equipment, network interfaces, or services described herein.

This document is an information source for the voluntary use of communication centers. It is not intended to be a complete operational directive.

It is possible that certain advances in technology or changes in governmental regulations will precede these revisions. All NENA documents are subject to change as technology or other influencing factors change. Therefore, this NENA document should not be the only source of information used. NENA recommends that readers contact their 9-1-1 System Service Provider (9-1-1 SSP) representative to ensure compatibility with the 9-1-1 network, and their legal counsel, to ensure compliance with current regulations.

Patents may cover the specifications, techniques, or network interface/system characteristics disclosed herein. No license is granted, whether expressed or implied. This document shall not be construed as a suggestion to any manufacturer to modify or change any of its products, nor does this document represent any commitment by NENA, or any affiliate thereof, to purchase any product, whether or not it provides the described characteristics.

By using this document, the user agrees that NENA will have no liability for any consequential, incidental, special, or punitive damages arising from use of the document.

NENA's Committees have developed this document. Recommendations for changes to this document may be submitted to:

National Emergency Number Association 1700 Diagonal Rd, Suite 500 Alexandria, VA 22314 202.466.4911 or commleadership@nena.org

01/19/2020 Page 4 of 14



NENA: The 9-1-1 Association improves 9-1-1 through research, standards development, training, education, outreach, and advocacy. Our vision is a public made safer and more secure through universally-available state-of-the-art 9-1-1 systems and better-trained 9-1-1 professionals. Learn more at nena.org.

Document Terminology

This section defines keywords, as they should be interpreted in NENA documents. The form of emphasis (UPPER CASE) shall be consistent and exclusive throughout the document. Any of these words used in lower case and not emphasized do not have special significance beyond normal usage.

- 1. MUST, SHALL, REQUIRED: These terms mean that the definition is a normative (absolute) requirement of the specification.
- 2. MUST NOT: This phrase, or the phrase "SHALL NOT", means that the definition is an absolute prohibition of the specification.
- 3. SHOULD: This word, or the adjective "RECOMMENDED", means that there may exist valid reasons in particular circumstances to ignore a particular item, but the full implications must be understood and carefully weighed before choosing a different course.
- 4. SHOULD NOT: This phrase, or the phrase "NOT RECOMMENDED" means that there may exist valid reasons in particular circumstances when the particular behavior is acceptable or even useful, but the full implications should be understood and the case carefully weighed before implementing any behavior described with this label.
- 5. MAY: This word, or the adjective "OPTIONAL", means that an item is truly optional. One vendor may choose to include the item because a particular marketplace requires it or because the vendor feels that it enhances the product while another vendor may omit the same item. An implementation which does not include a particular option "must" be prepared to interoperate with another implementation which does include the option, though perhaps with reduced functionality. In the same vein an implementation which does include a particular option "must" be prepared to interoperate with another implementation which does not include the option (except, of course, for the feature the option provides.)

These definitions are based on IETF RFC 2119.

01/19/2020



Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) Policy

NOTE – The user's attention is called to the possibility that compliance with this standard may require use of an invention covered by patent rights. By publication of this standard, NENA takes no position with respect to the validity of any such claim(s) or of any patent rights in connection therewith. If a patent holder has filed a statement of willingness to grant a license under these rights on reasonable and nondiscriminatory terms and conditions to applicants desiring to obtain such a license, then details may be obtained from NENA by contacting the Committee Resource Manager identified on NENA's website at www.nena.org/ipr.

Consistent with the NENA IPR Policy, available at www.nena.org/ipr, NENA invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard.

Please address the information to:

National Emergency Number Association 1700 Diagonal Rd, Suite 500 Alexandria, VA 22314 202.466.4911 or commleadership@nena.org

Reason for Issue/Reissue

NENA reserves the right to modify this document. Upon revision, the reason(s) will be provided in the table below.

Document Number	Approval Date	Reason For Issue/Reissue
NENA 54-002	06/10/2006	Initial Document
NENA-STA-007.2-2014	06/14/2014	Update to adhere to OSHA standards and industry best practices.
NENA-STA-007.3-2020	01/19/2020	Non-substantive and scrivener edits made for clarity, and substantive changes made to Reasonable Accommodations, section 2.4.1, during periodic review.

01/19/2020 Page 6 of 14

2 Hearing Standards for Public Safety Telecommunicators

2.1 Role Requirements

1

2

24

29

33

- 3 The public safety telecommunicator role requires the ability to receive auditory
- 4 information from citizens and other public safety entities requesting public safety services
- or assistance as well as to provide telephone support. This requires both active and passive
- 6 listening to process phone or radio requests, recognize incoming calls, intercoms, alarms,
- 7 interactions with other employees and general happenings in the communications center.
- 8 Examples of some of these activities are as follows:

9 10 11	Receive and process, from multiple sources, information requiring public safety services including other telecommunicators, field units or electronic devices.
12 13	Transmit emergency and administrative messages over communications systems.
14 15	Dispatch emergency services by radio and electronics and coordinate response activities.
16	Receive and transmit orders and instructions.
17 18	Monitor security, fire, medical and emergency management alarms and warning systems.
19 20	Monitor multiple radio frequencies for coordination and information.
21 22	Receive and process non-verbal alarms, beeps and other audible indicators from various equipment.
23	Monitor teletype system.

2.2 Medical Evaluation

- 25 Medical evaluation SHALL only be conducted in order to determine a candidate or current
- 26 employee's fitness to perform essential job tasks and SHALL NOT be conducted in an
- 27 attempt to identify disabilities which do not affect job performance, regardless of need for
- 28 reasonable accommodation.

2.2.1 Evaluator Credentials

- 30 Any person conducting audiometric evaluations MUST hold the appropriate qualifications
- of one of the following: a state licensed, and/or certified audiologist, state licensed
- 32 physician, or a trained technician supervised by one of the former.

01/19/2020

Page 7 of 14



- 34 *Commentary:*
- 35 Hearing conservation programs and follow-up evaluations for hearing loss should be
- 36 conducted by a qualified audiologist or physician (preferably an otolaryngologist).

2.2.2 Candidates for Employment

- 38 Audiometric testing shall be performed on each candidate in order to detect any physical
- or medical condition that could adversely affect the candidate's ability to safely perform all
- 40 essential job tasks. Audiometric testing shall be performed on all candidates for
- 41 employment along with any other required medical examinations, after an offer of
- 42 employment has been made and prior to the commencement duties of applicants,
- regardless of disability [8]. Any medical evaluation, including audiometric testing, required
- of candidates SHOULD be provided at no cost to the candidate.

45 **2.2.3 Current Employees**

- 46 PSAPs SHOULD develop policies and procedures for audiometric testing of employees
- in order to determine continued fitness to perform job tasks. These policies and
- 48 procedures SHOULD ensure that employees undergo audiometric testing, at least, on
- an as needed basis; when a potential hearing related problem is noted by the
- 50 employer or employee. Any medical evaluation, including audiometric testing, required
- of employees SHALL be provided at no cost to the employee.
- 52 Commentary:
- 53 Although not required, it is recommended that employees undergo annual audiometric
- 54 testing to identify any deterioration in hearing ability as early as possible and determine
- 55 continued fitness to perform job related tasks.

56 **2.2.4 Medical Records**

- 57 Agencies SHALL maintain in personnel records only that information which is needed or
- required for personnel administration purposes. Information obtained regarding the
- 59 medical condition or history of an applicant that is collected by the agency MUST be
- 60 maintained in a separate file in compliance with the provisions of the Americans With
- Disabilities Act (ADA), Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) and
- any state or local medical privacy laws. Audiometric testing records of all employees
- 63 SHALL be kept according to the local record retention schedule.

64 **2.3 Audiology**

- Public safety telecommunicators must quickly and accurately receive and process auditory
- information in an environment that includes various background noises such as telephones
- 67 ringing, multiple frequency radio traffic, and general conversation. Generally, the noise level
- in PSAPs is moderate, but requires that telecommunicators be able to differentiate various
- 69 verbal and non-verbal auditory cues at variable intensities.

01/19/2020 Page 8 of 14



2.3.1 Sound

70

80

94

- Sound is composed of three variables: frequency, intensity, and duration. The frequency
- of sound, measured in Hertz (Hz) corresponds with the perceived pitch. Normal
- conversational speech ranges from 250 Hz through 6000 Hz. Sound intensity, measured
- in decibels (dB), relates to perceived loudness. The decibel scale has been standardized
- 75 to represent the range of normal hearing in adults denoted as the unit "dB HL." Average
- conversational speech ranges from 40-60 dB HL. For adults, normal hearing thresholds
- can range from -10 through 25 dB HL for all test frequencies. When a threshold of
- hearing sensitivity exceeds 25 dB HL, for any frequency in an adult, this is considered a
- 79 hearing loss.[2]

2.3.2 Hearing Thresholds

- 81 Audiometric hearing threshold testing SHALL be assessed using an audiometer that meets
- the specifications of, and is maintained and used in accordance with, the most recent
- 83 edition of American National Standard/Acoustical Society of America Specifications for
- 84 Audiometers, ANSI/ASA S3.6. Audiometric examinations SHALL be administered in a room
- meeting the requirements listed in Appendix D of OSHA regulation 29 CFR 1910.95 (h)(4).
- 86 Hearing thresholds SHALL be determined using pure tone stimuli via air conduction with
- test frequencies including 500, 1000, 2000, 3000, 4000, and 6000 Hz per OSHA standard
- 1910.95(h)(1).[2] Thresholds at each frequency SHALL be evaluated separately for each
- 89 ear using either insert earphones or headphones. Other frequencies MAY be included at the
- 90 discretion of the qualified evaluator.
- Hearing thresholds at any evaluated frequency SHALL NOT exceed 25 dB HL in either ear.
- 92 If hearing thresholds exceed 25 dB HL at any evaluated frequency, continued speech
- 93 discrimination testing SHALL be completed as described in section 2.3.3.[2]

2.3.3 Audiometric Speech Discrimination

- 95 A speech discrimination evaluation is used to determine an individual's ability to
- understand speech in guiet and noisy listening environments. If audiometric testing
- 97 revealed hearing thresholds that did not meet the standards in 2.3.2 (any threshold
- exceeding 25 dB HL), binaural speech discrimination testing in quiet and noise SHALL
- be completed in the sound field.[7]
- Sound field testing SHALL be performed in a sound treated environment meeting the
- most recent American National Standard Specifications ANSI/ASA S3.6. CID W-22
- word lists[7] SHALL be presented via a calibrated speech audiometer through a single
- speaker stationed at 0 degrees azimuth with the candidate seated one (1) meter (39)
- inches) from the speaker. One 50-word list of pre-recorded CID W-22 speech stimuli
- SHALL be presented in quiet at 50 dB HL. The minimum acceptable standard of
- speech discrimination in guiet SHALL be a score no poorer than 90% correct.[7]

01/19/2020 Page 9 of 14

107	A second 50-word list of pre-recorded CID W-22 speech stimuli[7] SHALL be
108 109	presented at 50 dB HL in a background of broad-band noise (white-noise or speechnoise acceptable) at 40 dB HL ($S/N = + 10$). Noise MAY be directed through the
110	same speaker as speech stimuli at 0 degrees azimuth or through a separate speaker
111	located at 180 degrees azimuth. The minimum acceptable standard of speech
112	discrimination in noise SHALL be a score no poorer than 70% correct. An open-set
113	response format SHALL be utilized with the candidate responding in writing.[7]
114	Use of hearing aids, cochlear implants or enhanced listening devices to achieve the
115	above speech discrimination standards SHALL be permitted. [5][6]
116	2.3.4 Hearing Conservation
117	Once a public safety telecommunicator is determined to have some hearing loss there
118	are steps that can be taken to slow and/or help protect against further hearing loss.
119	PSAPs SHOULD obtain specific advice on hearing conservation from trained
120	professionals (i.e. Medical Doctor). Assistance may be available from local physicians,
121 122	employee assistance programs, and the Occupational Health and Safety Administration.[4] General suggestions include:
123	Administration.[4] General suggestions include.
123	☐ Avoid, as much as possible, exposure to noise levels higher than 90 dB at any
125	frequency, especially any exposure that occurs daily.
126	 Utilization of noise reduction headsets.
127	□ Noise canceling engineering including consoles and wall and floor coverings.`
128	2.4 Americans with Disability Act (ADA): Employment
129	The ADA prohibits discrimination on the basis of disability in employment. It prohibits
130	discrimination in recruiting, hiring, promotions, training, pay, social activities, and other
131	privileges of employment.
132	2.4.1 Reasonable Accommodations
133	Public entities are required `to make reasonable modifications to policies, practices,
134	procedures, and equipment where necessary to avoid discrimination, unless they can
135	demonstrate that doing so would fundamentally alter the nature of the service, program,
136	or activity being provided. Examples of reasonable accommodation include:
137	 Employer provided headsets with built in amplification.
138	 Employee's hearing aids.
139	☐ Employee's cochlear implants.[4]
140	
141	

Kaka

01/19/2020

- 142 *Commentary:*
- 143 Individual or issue specific measures of accommodation should be sought in consultation
- with affected persons and a trained professional (i.e. audiologist, Medical Doctor).
- 145 **2.4.2 Job Task Necessity**
- 146 It is essential to the life and safety of the community and Public Safety Responders for
- Public Safety Telecommunicators to meet the hearing standards set forth in this
- 148 document.
- 149 **3 NENA Registry System (NRS) Considerations**
- 150 Not Applicable
- **4 Documentation Required for the Development of a NENA XML Schema**
- 152 Not Applicable
- **5 Impacts, Considerations, Abbreviations, Terms, and Definitions**
- **5.1 Operations Impacts Summary**
- 155 This "Hearing Standards for Public Safety Telecommunicators" standards document does
- have operational impacts on the PSAP. Primarily from a budgetary perspective, in that
- audiometric testing SHOULD be provided at no cost to the employee or employment
- candidate. Also, depending on the solution implemented in each may increase the duration
- of pre-employment interviews and testing. These impacts SHOULD be carefully considered
- by PSAP managers and mitigated as much as possible.
- **5.2 Technical Impacts Summary**
- 162 Not applicable
- **5.3 Security Impacts Summary**
- 164 Not applicable
- 165 **5.4 Recommendation for Additional Development Work**
- No additional development work needed.
- **5.5 Anticipated Timeline**
- 168 None.
- **169 5.6 Cost Factors**
- 170 Cost factors affecting PSAPs will include the costs associated with audiometric testing and
- any reasonable accommodations necessary for persons with hearing impairment.

01/19/2020 Page 11 of 14



5.7 Cost Recovery Considerations

172

177

180

184

- Local funding sources will generally be utilized for cost factors associated with audiometric
- testing and/or reasonable accommodations. PSAPs may have access to local government
- occupational health centers or be able to partner with neighboring PSAPs/agencies to help
- 176 reduce costs associated with audiometric testing.

5.8 Additional Impacts (non-cost related)

- 178 The information or requirements contained in this NENA document are not expected to
- have 9-1-1 Center operational impacts, based on the analysis of the authoring group.

5.9 Abbreviations, Terms, and Definitions

- See NENA Master Glossary of 9-1-1 Terminology, NENA-ADM-000 [1], for a complete listing
- of terms used in NENA documents. All abbreviations used in this document are listed
- below, along with any new or updated terms and definitions.

Term or Abbreviation (Expansion)	Definition / Description
Decibel	A unit for expressing the relative intensity of sounds on a scale from zero for the average least perceptible sound (near total silence) to about 130 for the average pain level. A sound 10 times more powerful than 0dB is 10 dB. A sound 100 times more powerful than near total silence is 20 dB. A sound 1,000 times more powerful than near total silence is 30 dB. In normal, non-laboratory situations an average human ear can only detect a changes of at least 3 dB.
Hertz	Hertz (abbreviated Hz) is a unit of frequency (of change in state or cycle in a sound wave, alternating current, or other cyclical waveform) of one cycle per second. It replaces the earlier term; cycle per second (cps). In acoustic sound, the range of average human hearing is from 20 Hz to roughly 20 kHz (20 thousand Hertz). The pitch of middle C on a piano is 263 Hz.
Otolaryngologist	A physician specialized in diagnosing diseases of the head and neck especially those involving the ears, nose, and throat (ENT).

01/19/2020 Page 12 of 14



185

186

6 Recommended Reading and References

- 187 [1] National Emergency Number Association. *Master Glossary of 9-1-1 Terminology*. NENA-ADM-000.22-2018, approved April 13, 2018.
- 189 [2] Speaks, C.E. (1999). *Introduction to sound: Acoustics for the hearing and speech* sciences (3rd Ed.). Clifton Park, NY: Delmar Learning.
- 191 [3] OSHA regulations 1910.95 (29 CFR 1910.95(h0(1)-(4)). Web. <u>www.osha.gov</u>. 16 192 September 2013.
- 193 [4] OSHA Hearing Conservation. OSHA 3074. 2002. Web. <u>www.osha.gov</u>. 30 September 2013.
- 195 [5] Cochlear Implants. Web. http://www.cochlear.com/wps/wcm/connect/us/home.
- 196 [6] Advanced Bionics. Web. http://www.advancedbionics.com.
- 197 [7] Journal of Speech and Hearing Research Vol.21 507-518 September 1978.
- 198 [8] Americans With Disabilities Act of 1990, Pub. L. No. 101-336, 104 Stat.328 (1990)
- **199 7 Exhibits**
- Not Applicable
- 201 **8 Appendix**
- 202 Not Applicable

203

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- The National Emergency Number Association (NENA) PSAP Operations Committee,
- 206 Document Review Working Group developed this document.
- NENA Board of Directors Approval Date: **01/19/2020**
- NENA recognizes the following industry experts and their employers for their contributions
- 209 to the development of this document.

Members	Employer
Wendi Lively ENP, PSAP Operations	Spartanburg, SC
Committee Co-Chair	
April Heinze ENP, PSAP Operations	INdigital Telecom
Committee Co-Chair	
Tom Breen ENP, Technical Editor	Comtech Telecommunications Corp.
Lisa Dodson ENP	TriTech Software Systems
Anthony Ellis	Voigt Industrial Electronics LLC
Tammy Smith	Ottawa County MI
Michael Smith	Equature/DSS Corp.
Chris Carver ENP	NENA

210211 Special Acknowledgements:

- Delaine Arnold, ENP, Committee Resource Manager, has facilitated the production of this document through the prescribed approval process.
- The PSAP Operations Committee, Document Review Working Group is part of the NENA Development Group that is led by:
 - Pete Eggimann, ENP, and Jim Shepard, ENP, Development Steering Council Co-Chairs
 - Brandon Abley, ENP, Technical Issues Director
 - April Heinze, ENP, PSAP Operations Director

219220

216

217

218

204

01/19/2020 Page 14 of 14